

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,518	07/10/2003	Takeaki Nakamura	16809	1191	
23389	7590 10/26/2006	•	EXAM	INER	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300			DAWSON,	DAWSON, GLENN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			3731		
			DATE MAILED: 10/26/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/616,518	NAKAMURA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Glenn K. Dawson	3731		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1			
	or Reply				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING D	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 At	ugust 2006			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
)isposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,8,11,14,17-24 and 27-33</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.			
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4,8,11,14,17-22,24 and 27-33 is/a	re rejected.			
	Claim(s) 3 and 23 is/are objected to.				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
pplicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
riority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been	received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.		
Mttachmen	it(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		
) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date		
i) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) LI Notice of I	nformal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,2,4,8,11,14,17-19,21,22,24,27,29,30,32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hirt-2002/0010486 A1.

Hirt discloses a device for removing calculi having dual concentric probes. The outer probe is an ultrasonic probe driven by an ultrasonic horn and transducer. The inner probe is driven electro-magnetically to reciprocate to impact the calculi. Both of the probes are hollow and allow for aspiration of calculi particles therethrough. The abstract indicates that there is a switch (driving control device) which can change which probe is individually actuated by the appropriate drive circuitry. One circuit- 9-13 operates to activate the ultrasonic probe. The other circuit would be that inherent in an electromagnetic drive. As disclosed in col. 21, it is clear that the two probes can be manufactured as different subassemblies, further indicating that the two probes are driven independently of each other. Suction port 19 communicates with the inner lumen of outer probe. See para. 12,13 and 15.

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirt, et al.-'397 in view of Du, et al.-6975220.

Hirt discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the output setting units. However, Du discloses that it was known to provide a generator which can drive the actuator at desired frequencies. It would have been obvious to provide a variable generator for both drive signals in order to tailor the movement of the probes to the specific calculi being disintegrated.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirt, et al.-'397 in view of Brumbach-6582440.

Hirt discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the lateral protrusion at the distal end of the outer probe. Brumbach discloses a flared distal tip on the end of a lithotripsy probe. It would have been obvious to have provided an enlarged distal tip on the outer probe, as this provides the ultrasonic probe tip to prevent the lodging of the tip in the concretion-see Brumbach col. 2 lines 55-61.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08-10-2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As pointed out above, Hirt discloses the different driving signal generating circuits for the two probes and a driving control device (switch).

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,518

Art Unit: 3731

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glern K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 24 October 2006